

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/515,537 | | 02/29/2000 | Mika Valkonen | P/1805-15 | P/1805-15 1026 | |
| 2352 | 7590 | 01/16/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| 001110== | | BER GERB & SOFF | WONG, ALLEN C | | | |
| NEW YORK | | THE AMERICAS 100368403 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 2613 | 72 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004 | DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/515,537 | VALKONEN ET AL. | | | | | | |
| Advisory Aution | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Allen Wong | 2613 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addi | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final 0 | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed a | amendment | | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NO | Γ place the | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were | e newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | nd an | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | he Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |





Continuation of 2: NOTE: the amendment to claims 1, 2, and 19 are changed to the extent that they require further search and careful consideration.

ANDY RAO PRIMARY ELAMINER